# Bridge Condition Report 

## Structure \#: 016-4000

Briarwood Lane at Salt Creek

October 312011

Prepared For:
Palatine Township Road District
530 North Smith Street
Palatine, IL 60067
Region: Northeast

District: $\quad 1$
County: Unincorporated Cook County
Road District: Palatine Township Road District
Route: Briarwood Lane
Section: 10-25151-90-BR
Proposed Letting Date: $\quad 1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 2012
Structure Number:
Location:
016-4000
Briarwood Lane at Salt Creek crossing located east of Plum Grove Road/Meacham Road, west of IL Route 53, north of Algonquin Road, and south of Euclid Avenue in the Plum Grove Estates Subdivision.
II. Roadway / Structure Data

Roadway Classification: Local Street - Urban
ADT (Current): 650
Inventory Rating HS: 20
Operating Rating HS: 27.2
Sufficiency Rating: 81
Construction / Reconstruction / Repair History:
Year Constructed: 1954
Structure Type: 3 -cell (three 12' wide $\times 7$ ' high cells) cast-in-place box culvert with attached wingwalls.
Repair History: 1988: The deck waterproofing membrane and wearing surface was completely removed and replaced. Remedial work such as patching and grouting work was also performed.
2008: Portion of existing bridge wall that was struck and damaged by a vehicle was repaired.
III. Structure Condition Data

See following Attachments for additional information:
Attachment A: S-107 Master Structure Report
Structure Summary Report
Attachment B: $\quad$ S-104 Inspection / Appraisal Report S-105 Inventory Turnaround Report
Attachment C: Cook County Highway Department Memorandum dated January 16, 2008 for Cost Estimates - Alternate 1 and 2 repairs based on CCHD inspection.
Attachment D: Structure Photos.
Attachment E: Abbreviated Existing Structure Plans. Per Palatine Township Road District, no plans for existing structure are available and thus none have been provided.

Per attachments A \& B, there are issues with the existing deck geometry and the structure lacks permanent safety railing. Further there are also issues with the approach roadway alignment to the bridge. Per Attachment C, based on 2007 inspection performed by the Cook County Highway Department the observable repair costs required to rehabilitate the existing structure range from $\$ 235,000$ to $\$ 370,000$. Per the alternative estimates approximately $30 \%$ of the top and bottom of the deck slabs will need partial depth repairs and $20 \%$ will require full depth repairs, while $10 \%$ of the interior walls and 54 square feet the wingwalls will need repairs. It should be noted that neither alternate includes the approach re-alignment of

Briarwood Lane or the widening of crossing. Further deterioration of the bridge has also probably occurred since 2007 inspection which will cause for higher rehabilitation costs than noted in the 2008 estimates possibly bringing those costs to approximately $\$ 250,000$ to $\$ 400,000$.

## IV. Discussions and Recommended Scope of Work:

After reviewing the rehabilitation costs and other issues including but not limited to the following:

- Age of existing structure (constructed in 1954, so almost 60 years old) with useful life of a cast-inplace culvert being approximately 50 years.
- Intolerable Deck geometry (appraisal rating: 3).
- Lack of permanent safety railings (appraisal rating: 2).
- Approach roadway alignment (appraisal rating: 6).
- Roadway width at crossing.

Given all the above, the Palatine Township Road District has determined that a full structure replacement is warranted, in-lieu of a band-aid short-term repairs, and has decided to pursue a complete structure removal and replacement to bring the crossing up to today's standards as best as possible given the existing site constraint conditions as well as re-alignment of Briarwood Lane to provide improved structure approach alignment from that of the existing condition. Several options were looked at for the structure replacement:

- Precast concrete box culverts sections.
- Free span bridge system or precast pre-stressed concrete box beams.
- 3-sided precast concrete structure.

The box culvert option was eliminated due to the inner walls and debris accumulation that has been a problem in the past with the existing structure. The free span bridge or precast pre-stressed box beam option was eliminated as adequate clearance requirements could not be met from the high water elevation to the bottom of the beams without the vertical approach grades to the bridge being too steep or significant change to the profile of the centerline of the roadway overflow which is not feasible given the existing site constraints. The 3-sided precast single-span structure was therefore determined to be the best replacement solution. The proposed 3-sided single span structure will have an open area that is larger than that of the existing structure without any inner wall and the proposed centerline of roadway overflow profile below the FIS 100 Year Base Flood Elevation of 709.77 was designed to generally match that of the existing centerline roadway overflow profile.

Due to existing conditions constraints and location of existing low points in the roadway on either side of the existing crossing, a design variance from the three of freeboard requirement will be required. Based on previous discussions with the IDOT Bureau of Local Roads and Streets the variance would almost certainly be granted in this instance.

The anticipated cost for the complete structure replacement with 3-sided precast structure and to also realign the approach roadway and related improvements is estimated to be approximately $\$ 850,000$. Funding for this work will be from Township Bridge Program Funds and Palatine Township Road District Funds.

The project is anticipated to be bid out by the Palatine Township Road District in the first quarter of 2012 with construction occurring in the summer of 2012 during low creek flows. Briarwood Lane will be closed throughout the duration of the construction to through traffic from Long Acres Lane to Crestwood Drive, so that the existing structure can be completely removed and replaced with the proposed single span 3-sided precast structure with wingwalls and the road will be re-aligned. Access will be provided for local residents along Briarwood in the construction area and detour routing will be provided to route other motorists around bridge closure.

## Attachment A

S-107 Master Structure Report Structure Summary Report
Structure Number: 016-4000

Illinois Department of Transportation
Structures Information Management System

## Master Structure Report (S-107)

## Structure Number: 016-4000

Data Related to lnspection loformation
Bridge Posting Level:




## Attachment B

S-104 Inspection / Appraisal Report S-105 Inventory Turnaround Report

Illinois Department of Transportation
Date: 5/2/2011
Structures Information Management System
Inspection/Appraisal Report (S-104)


Actual Posted Vehicle Restrictions
(70D2) Posted One Truck At A Time:
(70A2) Single Unit Vehicle Weight Limit (Tons):
(70B2) Combination Vehicle Type 3S-1 Wt. Limit (Tons):
(70C2) Combination Vehicle Type 3S-2 Wt. Limit (Tons):
(90B) Remarks (Last Inspection):

Remarks (Current Inspection):

## Main Route

|  |
| :---: |

## Bridge Status:

Sufficiency Rating:
HBP Eligible:

 (44AF/BF) Far Appr Span Matr1/Type \#1:
(44AF/BF) Far Appr Span Matri/Type \#2: (44AN/BN) Near Appr Span MatrI/Type \#1
(44AN/BN) Near Appr Span MatrI/Type \#2 (51) Bridge Roadway Width (Ft.):
(32) Approach Roadway Width (Ft.):
(52) Deck Width (Ft.):
(107/A) Deck Type/Thickness (In.):
(48) Length of Longest Span (Ft.):
(45/6) Nbr Spans Main/Approach:
(43A/B) Main Span Material/Type: (112) AASHTO Bridge Length (Ft.):
(51) Bridge Roadway Width (Ft.):
(32) Approach Roadway Width (Ft.)
(52) Deck Width (Ft.): (8E) Replaced By Struct Number: (8D) Replaces Structure Number: (49) Structure Length (Ft.): Exist
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## Attachment C

CCHD Memorandum and Alternate 1\&2 Rehabilitation Cost Estimates

## HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

## To:

From:
Date:
Subject:


Attached for your use in preparing a cost estimate are two altemative preliminary summary of quantities for the subject improvements. A brief description of each improvement for the proposed bridge rehabilitation is mentioned below. Please provide the Structural Division with a cost estimate for this project for programming purposes.

## Desciption of limprovement for Alternative:\#1

The proposed improvement includes the removal and replacement of the stone parapet with a concrete brddge ralling with rustication finish, the removal and reinstallation of the existinig bridge Ilghting, removal and replacement of concrete headwall to accommodate the proposed concrete parapel wall and new twelve (12) toot lanes, the removal and replacement of the guardrall with traffic barrier terminal, type 6 and traffic barrier terminal, type 1 with bifurinous shoulders: The removal and replacement of the bituminous surface with a sheet water prooflng membrane system. Perform structural repair of concrete to the triple box culvert and wing walls. Perform deck slab repairs near deck dralins, relocate dack drains, and perform epoxy crack sealing and all collateral work as necessary to complete the project.

## Description of Improvement for Alternatlue \#2

The proposed improvement includes the removal and replacement of the guardrail with traffic barrier terminal, type 6 and traffic barrier terminal, type 1 with bituminous shoulders. The removal and neplacement of the bituminous surface with a sheet water proofing membrane system. Perform structural repair of concrete to the triple box culvert and wing walls. Perform deck slab repairs near deck drains; replace deck drains, and perform epoxy crack sealing and all collateral work as necessary to complete the project.

If you have any questions, please contact Guillermo Ramos @ ext. 3-1741
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## COMMENT

\author{

- <br> Protextue smfezo <br> NELESS MTUY
}

Briarwood Lane (alt Creek
Structure No. 016-4000
Prellmhary Cost Estimate. Altemata 2 (No Stone Parapet Repharainent)

*- It was estimated that the top and bottom slabs required 30\% of Deck Slab Repeat, Partial and 20\% of Dock Stat Repair (Full Depth) Types "th It was estimated that the 54 gq . ft. of wingwall need repatrs,and $20 \%$ of the bottom of the top stab requires repair, and $10 \%$ of the interior well require repairs.
600 of reinforcement bars was added in the event that section loss is encounter during deck slab repairs.

COMMENT:
$\qquad$

## Attachment D

2011 Structure Photos


Existing Structure - Upstream Side


Existing Structure - Upstream Side East Wingwall


Existing Structure - Upstream Side West Wingwall


Existing Structure - Upstream Non-Structural Decorative Wall


Existing Structure - Downstream Side East Wingwall


Existing Structure - Downstream Side West Wingwall


Existing Structure - Downstream Non-Structural Decorative Wall

## Attachment E

Abbreviated Existing Structure Plans (Existing Structure Plans Not Available)

